Response to COUNTY OF NEVADA Corp., SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE & the NEVADA COUNTY GRAND JURY Foreperson, et al.

To view Notices from the Nevada county assembly, Committee of Safety please visit: https://nevadacountyassembly.org/notice





The annexed document provides **undisputed material Facts**, which will help expose the ongoing occupation of our American Government by constitutionally-banned foreign agents (e.g., Crown **BAR attorneys**, dual U.S-Israeli citizens, members of the U.N., C.F.R., T.L.C., W.E.F., I.M.F., Bilderbergers, etc.). To the best of our knowledge, the undisputed material Facts in the annexed document are applicable to **all** 50 states of the American Union, as well as, **all** 3,143 Crown-BAR-controlled "COUNTIES" across America. In good faith, the N.c.a. C.o.S. is sharing the annexed document to help educate the American People, who have been lied to about the Crown-BAR infiltration of all levels (federal, state, county and city) and branches (executive, judicial and legislative) of American Government since the 1860's. Now you know why the Crown-BAR attorneys conspired to steal the 2020 election from President Trump [see links annexed hereto, especially: https://www.bitchute.com/video/zkwij3eYazC7/].

Note: In the U.S. Supreme Court case of *United States vs. Williams* [112 S. Ct, 504 U.S. 36, 118 L.Ed.2d 352 (1992)], Justice Antonin Scalia, writing for the majority confirmed that:

"The American Grand Jury is **neither part of the judicial, executive nor legislative branches of government**, but instead <u>belongs to the people</u>. It is in effect the fourth branch of government 'governed' and administered to directly by and on behalf of the American people, and its authority emanates from the **Bill of Rights**. Thus, [the People] have the **unbridled right** to impanel their own grand juries and present 'True Bills' of inducement to the court, which is then required to commence a criminal proceeding." Our Founding Fathers presciently thereby created a 'buffer'."

"In fact, the whole theory of its function is that it belongs to no branch of the institutional Government, serving as a kind of buffer or referee between the Government and the people. See Stirone v. United States, 361 U.S. 212, 218 (1960); Hale v. Henkel, 201 U.S. 43, 61 (1906); G. Edwards, The Grand Jury 28-32 (1906). Although the grand jury normally operates, of course, in the courthouse and under judicial auspices, its institutional relationship with the Judicial Branch has traditionally been, so to speak, at arm's length. Judges' direct involvement in the functioning of the grand jury has generally been confined to the constitutive one of calling the grand jurors together and administering their oaths of office. See United States v. Calandra, 414 U.S. 338, 343 (1974); Fed.Rule Crim.Proc. 6(a). [504 U.S. 36, 48]"

Excerpts from the annexed document: "The above majority decision, written by Justice Scalia, destroys the entire theatre that I have just been subjected to in regard to my brief dealings with the so-called "GRAND JURY." "My experience evidences that the GRAND JURY **is not** independent, but it is bolted to the CROWN STATE BAR RICO, through the Office of the JUDGE acting as the "GRAND JURY'S PRESIDING JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT."





Silence, when there is a moral or legal Duty to respond, constitutes Agreement.

From: Shanan [Bryars], in esse

Po Box XXXX [near: CA 95959] Nevada City, California state republic The United States of America

Service by: CERTIFIED MAIL ®

To: Thomas Michael Anderson, Esq. [SBC #104181], COUNTY OF NEVADA, Board of Supervisors d.b.a. JUDGE THOMAS M. ANDERSON 201 Church Street

Nevada City Courthouse [near: CA 95959] Nevada City, California state republic

The United States of America

Attention: **Board of Supervisors** through the

Clerk of the Board

950 Maidu Avenue, Ste. 200, [near: CA 95959]

Nevada City, California state republic

The United States of America

BOS.PublicComment@co.nevada.ca.us

c.c. Kathleen Williams, c /o: NEVADA COUNTY GRAND JURY; The people of Nevada county via the Nevada county assembly; All Grand Jury Members; Honorable **Donald John Trump**, c/o General **David H. Berger** (U.S.M.C.); Honorable **Donald John Trump**, In capacity as Commanderin-Chief c/o Mar-A-Lago (i.e., "Winter White House"); United States Navy, Judge Advocate General's Office, Attn.: Vice Admiral Darse E. Crandall Jr., or successor; US Army Provost Marshal, Criminal Investigation Division, Attn: M.G. Duane R. Miller, or successor,

March 22, A. D. 2022,

Attention: Thomas Anderson,

This a response to email and letters that I received from Kathleen Williams, who is acting as "Foreperson" for the "NEVADA COUNTY GRAND JURY" (hereinafter GRAND JURY) and one Thomas Michael Anderson, Esq. [SBC #104181], doing business as "JUDGE THOMAS M. ANDERSON" acting as the "GRAND JURY'S PRESIDING JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT." (hereinafter COURT), who wrote to inform me I had been removed from the GRAND JURY based on unsubstantiated and inaccurate claims (opinions) made by one Kathleen Williams, the "Foreperson" of the current GRAND JURY (see the appendix for relevant scanned documents).

As I will evidence below, the decision of the "GRAND JURY'S PRESIDING JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT" is clearly not fact-driven; and, by operation of law, it was neither fair nor impartial.

I should not have to remind the respondents that ignorantia juris non excusat or ignorantia legis neminem excusat (Latin for "ignorance of the law excuses not" and "ignorance of law excuses no one" respectively) which is a legal principle holding that a person who is unaware of a law may not escape liability for violating that law merely by being unaware of its content.

My experience with the GRAND JURY has inspired me (and others) to further investigate and report to the people of Nevada county on my dealings with the GRAND JURY, its relation to the aforementioned "COURT" and the COUNTY OF NEVADA BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (hereinafter BOS).

As Justice Brandeis said: "Our Government is the potent, the omnipresent teacher," meaning if Government is corrupt everything else will be corrupted. He also stated: "A government of laws will be imperiled if it fails to observe the law scrupulously. If a government becomes a lawbreaker, it breeds contempt for the law; it invites every man to come a law unto himself. It invites anarchy."

Applicable to all Successors, Assigns, and Agents:

Silence, when there is a moral or legal Duty to respond, constitutes Agreement.

This further implies that when the highest placed so-called government officials are corrupt, then those under them will become totally corrupt. The question then is, is there any evidence that exists that implicate that the highest corporate offices of the "UNITED STATES®" and "STATE OF CALIFORNIA" (hereinafter STATE) are corrupted to their core? See the following links for answers.

~~~~~~

See Biden Burisma contract executed by Hunter Biden and a Chinese firm. <a href="https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2022/02/breaking-exclusive-bidens-ukrainian-blood-money-u-s-government-mainstream-media-hid-public/">https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2022/02/breaking-exclusive-bidens-ukrainian-blood-money-u-s-government-mainstream-media-hid-public/</a>

Joe Biden: "we have put together the most extensive VOTER FRAUD organization in History"

Maria Zack's earth shattering testimony, 2021 Election stolen from Rome - kansas senate hearing Watch:

https://www.bitchute.com/video/zkwij3eYazC7/

General Wesley Clark - Wars Were Planned - Seven Countries In Five Years:

https://www.brighteon.com/f0e35c39-61f2-462f-9863-bbc45b387219

The BROWN-PELOSI-NEWSOM-GETTY California Mafia: <a href="https://www.brighteon.com/61769e4f-c95d-4a5f-aafb-bda958e96028">https://www.brighteon.com/61769e4f-c95d-4a5f-aafb-bda958e96028</a>

The awful truth about NEVADA COUNTY Court system by Michael Moore from 20 years ago:

https://www.brighteon.com/d3b15f52-a39f-4023-a308-a01627e85534

CBS 60 Minutes hidden camera records lawyer bragging lawyers are immune from criminal prosecution and that they run the country:

https://www.brighteon.com/13ef3415-e3f0-494c-9182-38566ea2b44f

What is going on in the so-called Courts and why they wanted everyone to accept a "CHARGE" and not fight the case: <a href="https://www.brighteon.com/be6eef72-35de-436f-afdb-e84823d1c7e6">https://www.brighteon.com/be6eef72-35de-436f-afdb-e84823d1c7e6</a>

**Matthew 6:24** "No one can serve two masters. Either you will hate the one and love the other, or you will be devoted to the one and despise the other. You **cannot** serve both God and mammon.

How can <u>any</u> so-called "JUDGE" who <u>does not</u> have the Oath mandated Article XX, Section 3 of the California Constitution (also see: Government Code, Sec. 1360) or a proper fidelity bond (Government Code, Sections 1450-1463), <u>fairly</u> adjudicate a case if he (or she) has a secret **monetary incentive** to find someone guilty? Quite simply he (or she) cannot and will not. This constitutes the act of **fraud upon the court** by the so-called officers of the "COURT" (lying by omission) and a violation of substantive Due Process under color of law [see 18 U.S.C. 242].

~~~~~

Edmund Gerald ["Jerry"] Brown, Jr., Esq., a BAR attorney [SBC #37100] and his father before him, worked over decades to place fifth column BAR agents into California state government and the so-called courts that by virtue of them being foreign agents (evidenced through failure to comply with Business and Professions Code, Sections 6067 and 6068(a) (i.e., Article XX, Section 3 Oath), were ineligible for lawful government Office in America, and this has been the case since A. D. 1819.

Based on the foregoing, we can conclude that so-called STATE "COURTS" have long been feathered with constitutionally-banned BAR agents [attorneys] serving interests of the STATE OF

CALIFORNIA mafia [Brown, Pelosi, Newsom, Getty families] and other criminals for decades, yet they had no lawful authority to act in Government [see: Cal. Code 1360, 1367, 1770(i)] ab initio. This translates that all COURT cases going through California's 500 court buildings each year are actually **simulated legal processes** conducted **under color of law** and are based on **fraud** by all the court officers and employees, which also constitutes **fraud upon the court** by all the constitutionally-banned foreign agents, a.k.a. BAR attorneys involved (5.8 million cases in fiscal year 2017-18 according to: https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/2019-Court-Statistics-Report-Introduction.pdf).

Chief Justice Marshall penned the words that there is <u>no</u> validity to a law (<u>or</u> act) repugnant to the Constitution. It further implies that <u>all</u> decisions, judgments, or orders from the officers (or employees) of the aforesaid "COURTS," which are based on **fraud**, are **void** ab initio (i.e., from the beginning).

An examination of the STATE BAR Mafia's impact on California across decades, while it has been under the dictatorship of the California Mafia (see the Brighteon video above) and "THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA" (see BAR attorney above admitting they run the country), parallels with the literal destruction of California, its move towards Marxist anti-American agendas, and the refusal of the illegal, unlawful BAR monopoly running California to respect the immutable common law foundations of the state (see: California Civil Code 22.2).

The destruction of California is solely due to the ongoing occupation of California by the bankrupt, defunct, illegitimate BAR-controlled STATE OF CALIFORNIA municipal corporation that operates racketeering enterprises in <u>all</u> 58 corporate COUNTY subdivisions masquerading as if they were the original, lawful, de jure county governments, which they are definitely <u>not</u>.

Those knowledgeable of this situation will point out that California's illegitimate rulers' true allegiance is to the cause of the Rothschild's One World Order mission, which Californians were warned about in Calif. Government Code, Sec. 1027.5 (See also references to the "Bar" Treaty of 1947, see p. 7, paragraph 6). People can judge for themselves how closely STATE OF CALIFORNIA has adhered to the New World Order agenda exposed here: https://www.mediafire.com/folder/bzyxei4qyj35c/15 New World Order%2C One World Government

As will be proven by operation of law, all individuals, including so-called "Judges" claiming to be legitimate California public officers or employees, **are not** even complying with their own **defunct** STATE OF CALIFORNIA corporate charter of A. D. 1879, which is what **all** public officers and employees of the STATE municipal government today claim to be operating under.

Over the last two years, Globalist-owned CCP-captured puppets (Biden, Newsom, Pelosi, etc.), and more recently the illegitimate Rothschilds-CCP-Biden owned regime, have demonstrated their absolute allegiance to the Klaus Schwab's World Economic Forum (WEF) penned "Great Reset" (a.k.a. One World Order – One World Government agenda) and the agenda of foreign, private, non-governmental corporations like the Rothschild's created UNITED NATIONS municipal corporation, the CCP, WHO, and their adherence to policy of the for-profit globalist-owned bioweapons companies like the NIH and the CDC, which are not lawful federal government entities, and they have absolutely no authority to dictate policy, mandates, rules, or law to the American people. Awake Americans already know that the unalienable Rights of wo/man in America are NOT subject to any control by actors or foreign agents of foreign Corporations (Newsom, Biden, Pelosi, etc.), no matter what actors, constitutionally-banned Crown-BAR attorneys or corporate agents of the Rothschild's-UN-WHO, CDC, IMF, FEMA, FDA, DHS, UNITED STATES, STATE OF STATES, or COUNTY OF CORP's etc., may wish the American

people to be-**lie**-ve (also see Government Code, Sections 11120, 54950 et seq., see also <u>Clearfield</u> <u>Doctrine</u>).

In order not to further waste my time or that of the Respondents, this response to your false claim is in part written in the form of a stipulation of material facts by Agreement of the Parties, which will afford Respondents an opportunity to rebut the material Facts presented herein, under penalty of perjury, sworn under Oath as true, correct and complete. Your response will further allow the people of Nevada county to determine what adjustments they now need to make to retool the instruments that we created solely to protect the unalienable Rights of the people of Nevada county and to get our guaranteed republican form of self-government re-installed and back on track (Government Code, Sections 11120, 54950 et seq.).

Should Respondents fail to respond to this stipulation <u>or</u> provide an **inapposite**¹ response, then by operation of law, respondents will unconditionally agree to all facts as stated in my stipulations, which agreement will inform the people of Nevada county and the Nevada county assembly to address the lawful government vacuum (abandonment of the people by government – see: **Law of Nations**, Page 212, § 202) so that the people can step up to the plate and provide for their own welfare and protection from globalist – Crown, CCP captured governments, which will necessitate the people organizing our own common law grand juries to remove the **corrupt** <u>and</u> **treasonous** individuals claiming to be STATE, COUNTY or CITY public officers or employees, but who refuse or fail to provide verified proof they are, joint and several, constitutionally-authorized to perform their duties in Nevada county.

The damning material Facts presented herein ought to be a cause for concern to the people serving the so-called "GRAND JURY," and they should also trigger the people of not only Nevada county, but the people living in <u>all</u> 58 counties in California, to completely re-evaluate all 500 so-called STATE COURTS, including the "NEVADA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT" and the "SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA County of Nevada" (see: Government Code, Sec. 68076); and, to question what the municipal corporation styled as "COUNTY OF NEVADA" and its officers and employees are actually doing in Nevada county.

The following information should also be of interest to the people of Nevada county who have perhaps been wondering why Nevada county (California and all BAR-captured STATES) have over decades been descending into a cesspool of corruption and are increasingly being run by outsiders parachuted in to the county before elections that openly harbor leftist, Marxist views (anti-American tendencies) that are in alignment with the Khazarian Mafia (Rothschilds) UNITED NATIONS Corp. [See. https://www.veteranstoday.com/2022/03/10/the-hidden-history-of-the-incredibly-evil-khazarian-mafia/].

This document will explain who the fifth column is that is imposing a socialist agenda on the people of Nevada county (CRT, Agenda 21, Agenda 2030 etc.), which is in alignment with warnings given to us under Calif. Government Code, Sec. 1027.5.

I have annexed hereto key documents in the Appendix section that pertain to my recent interactions with the GRAND JURY and others relevant to this testimony, which include:

(1) The Oath mandated by Article XX, Section 3, of 1879 "STATE OF CALIFORNIA" constitution (i.e., corporate charter)

¹ The word **inapposite** means irrelevant, inapplicable, impertinent, non-pertinent, out of place, not to the purpose or point.

Silence, when there is a moral or legal Duty to respond, constitutes Agreement.

- (2) February 28, A. D. 2022, letter from Kathleen Williams, Foreperson, Nevada County Grand Jury
- (3) March 2, A.D. 2022, letter from Judge Thomas Anderson (2 pages)
- (4) Form 700 Correspondence, dated Friday, March 11th, 2022 at 3:58 PM, from <xxxx@co.nevada.ca.us>
- (5) Form provided for execution on joining Grand Jury, NCSPFRM-102 External User Security Affidavit & Account Configuration.
- (6) Biden Crime Family
- (7) Michael Moore makes a stop in Grass valley, 900 cases reviewed, nearly all pleaded, 1-2 trials, WHY?

It is noted that on joining the GJ, I was asked to sign a document (see 5 above) that in my opinion completely invalidates the entire purpose of the so-called GRAND JURY. The document that I was constantly pressured to sign states: "county employees, elected officials and all within the COUNTY [municipal corporation] building to be held harmless with any findings."

In my understanding, the reason Justice Scalia described the Grand Jury as being the Fourth Branch of Government is because it is supposed to be separate from the alleged three branches (all BAR Captured) of Government and <u>not</u> beholding to any of them! For this reason, this clause legally invalidates the key purpose of the Grand Jury, as stated by Justice Antonin Scalia.

In the U.S. Supreme Court case of United States vs. Williams, Justice Antonin Scalia 112 S. Ct, 504 U.S. 36, 118 L.Ed.2d 352 (1992), writing for the majority confirmed that:

"The American Grand Jury is neither part of the judicial, executive nor legislative branches of government, but instead <u>belongs to the people</u>. It is in effect the fourth branch of government 'governed' and administered to directly by and on behalf of the American people, and its authority emanates from the **Bill of Rights**. Thus, [the People] have the **unbridled right** to impanel their own grand juries and present 'True Bills' of inducement to the court, which is then required to commence a criminal proceeding." Our Founding Fathers presciently thereby created a 'buffer'."

"In fact, the whole theory of its function is that it belongs to no branch of the institutional Government, serving as a kind of buffer or referee between the Government and the people. See Stirone v. United States, 361 U.S. 212, 218 (1960); Hale v. Henkel, 201 U.S. 43, 61 (1906); G. Edwards, The Grand Jury 28-32 (1906). Although the grand jury normally operates, of course, in the courthouse and under judicial auspices, its institutional relationship with the Judicial Branch has traditionally been, so to speak, at arm's length. Judges' direct involvement in the functioning of the grand jury has generally been confined to the constitutive one of calling the grand jurors together and administering their oaths of office. See United States v. Calandra, 414 U.S. 338, 343 (1974); Fed.Rule Crim.Proc. 6(a). [504 U.S. 36, 48]"

The above majority decision, written by Justice Scalia, destroys the entire theatre that I have just been subjected to in regard to my brief dealings with the so-called GRAND JURY.

My experience evidences that the GRAND JURY <u>is not</u> independent, but it is bolted to the STATE BAR RICO through Office of the JUDGE THOMAS M. ANDERSON acting as the "GRAND JURY'S PRESIDING JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT."

We are in dangerous territory for a member of a Grand Jury to be eliminated for no legitimate cause, based on false accusations, accusatory repercussions, and without substantive due process.

This should lead everyone to wonder, who is on the GRAND JURY, and do they understand what the constitutional duties of a grand jury actually are?

The baseless charges made by Kathleen, and later by one Thomas Michael Anderson, doing business as JUDGE THOMAS M. ANDERSON, stating that I engaged in three things that violated the rules. Here are the 3 accusations made by Ms. Kathleen Williams and "JUDGE" Anderson:

• "I was aggressive with an election employee." [This is a personal opinion and **is not** a verified fact]. Did the employee file an Affidavit made under the penalties stating I was "Aggressive?"

This is extremely untrue and also said without any form of due process or actual communication to find out more from the accused (myself). This is a form of slander and defamation of my character. This of course made me curious as to how and who Kathleen had heard this story from. If you would like to make a claim with me, show me the evidence. If there is no verifiable evidence, then I would strongly recommend the members of the GRAND JURY look into the biased and accusatory nature of one of the GRAND JURY members against another, **without** evidence or actions taken to find out the full truth before making false judgments and/or consequences.

"I attended and wore my badge in a Board of Supervisor meeting."

First off, I had just been upstairs delivering paperwork to the GRAND JURY. It was a snowy day, and I had a huge snow jacket on. I had asked one of the GRAND JURY members during a zoom call (David XXXX) if I could still attend the BOS and was told I could, so I stopped in to hear what they were spending our tax payer \$ on, as it is my right as a citizen. I did not flash my badge or sit as a Grand Juror. I sat quietly, took off my badge once I remembered I had it on, and listened for 15 minutes. Maybe the lesson here is to have much clearer rules laid out so that there is no confusion for future Grand Jury members. I am disappointed to have not been treated as a human being by Kathleen who never talked with me or had a conversation, but who just went and made a choice to have me removed.

"I acted inappropriately with a police officer."

This one is the most entertaining comments. Please share proof, date and time, with whom this allegedly occurred, and by what standards was I appropriate? I have zero recollection of such incident; I do believe that if I was "inappropriate," I certainly would have remembered it and/or had experienced consequences to those actions you claimed.

Now let us consider very serious material issues.

I have researched what our Grand Jury has actually accomplished over the years and was deeply saddened, but not surprised, to see how weak and ineffective this Grand Jury has been historically. Considering the major illegal operations in which the COUNTY has infringed upon the rights of the constituents and accusations addressed to the BOS, it is stunning to note that the GRAND JURY has done nothing to expose Officers' International War Crime allegations filed directly with the BOS and COUNTY Sheriff's Office on multiple occasions. See here:

https://www.mediafire.com/folder/2udhcd13f41fl/Title 18 USC Section 2 Notice to all P ersons claiming to be Government officers et al of crimes against humanity

I have concluded that the GRAND JURY, like every other facet of Government across America, is Rothschilds-CROWN (Vatican) BAR-captured, which includes the COUNTY municipal corporation and the COURT. This explains why the Sheriff, who is supposed to be the highest law enforcement Officer in the county, is constantly deferring to the COUNTY Counsel Offices and failing to act to combat CRIMES committed by those individuals falsely claiming to be lawful officers and employees of state and/or county "government." If we had a bona fide Sheriff and bona fide Grand Jury, which were lawfully seated, we could have long ago put an end to de facto government actors infringing upon the unalienable Rights of the men and women living in Nevada county. At this time I conclude, we have government actors ONLY. No one is lawfully seated in a constitutionally appointed government office, and as a result, no one is by operation of law able to collect a pay check.

The curious nature which I believe led to myself being invited to be on the Grand Jury has led me to research the current Grand Jury and how this current Grand Jury is operating, both illegally and under the color of law (including Color of Office and color of authority). It is self-evident that the GRAND JURY has merged with the very entity that it was created to investigate, with government officers and employees, which explains why the live agents of the COUNTY routinely act in ultra vires² manner, and why their criminal activities are never seriously investigated.

If the Grand Jury members, joint and several, were actually exercising their constitutional authority, they would know that a constitutionally-banned foreign BAR agent is engaged in **jury tampering** and making decisions that should be based on facts while acting fairly or impartially.

They would have also realized that the COUNTY and the staff that work within its building are working for a foreign entity called the UNITED STATES®, a District of Columbia municipal corporation, formed without the informed consent of the American People or lawful constitutional authority in A. D. 1871. In other words, we are under a CROWN BAR occupation and have been since A. D. 1868.

We were taken over by a foreign municipal corporation that is styled as "UNITED STATES® [see: 28 U.S.C. § 3002(15)(A); also see Calif. Commercial Code, Sec.9307(h)], from which the subchartered STATE municipal corporation was created, that then authorized the creation of 58 COUNTY corporate political subdivisions (somewhere between 1880-1913), including but not limited to the "COUNTY OF NEVADA," without the informed consent of the people of Nevada county.

It should also be noted that Respondents are engaged in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1504 (i.e., Influencing juror by writing), which constitutes a **federal felony** for juror tampering.

If this were a proper constitutionally-empowered Grand Jury, I would have challenged the legally invalid decision, but since it is not a lawfully bona-fide Grand Jury, I have a duty to report the material Facts to the people of Nevada county, the true authority for impaneling the county Grand Jury, and to appropriate **military authorities** pursuant to 10 U.S.C. §§ 252-253. At this time,

² **Ultra vires** is a Latin phrase used in law to describe an act which requires legal authority but is done without it; and, generally applies to the officers and agents of corporations.

the so called GRAND JURY has no constitutional authority to so much as investigate a ham sandwich.

To further underscore how far outside of the law the STATE COURTS and COUNTY municipal government have gone, please note the letter from one JUDGE THOMAS M. ANDERSON which features a facsimile of the "GREAT SEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNI," which **no** judicial body or agency, including the so-called "NEVADA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT," has **any** constitutional or statutory authority to use (see: Government Code, Sections 402(a) and 402.5). It uses a facsimile of the Great Seal to create a misleading, erroneous, or false impression that it is a bona fide California state superior court, but it definitely **is not**.

None of the so-called 250 SUPERIOR COURTS operating in California are lawful constitutionally-appointed California state courts. Since ignorance of the law is no excuse to break the law, we can conclude that those running the so-called courts are willfully engaged in racketeering under color of law and engaged in a Mixed War on the American people. All SUPERIOR COURTS are Rothschild-Crown (Khazarian-Mafia) BAR captured and are openly in business with the KM-controlled Federal Reserve System, crime cartel (**not** de jure Government). How can one validate these claims? Anyone can research: **Court Registry Investment System** (CRIS) – see video links at the end of this document, Code of Civil Procedure, Sections 14, 153, 412.20; Calif. Government Code, Sections 100, 402, 402.5, 1027.5, 11120, 54950, 68076, et al.; Business and Professions Code (BPC), Sections 6067 and 6068(a); and, compare the style of the Court mandated under Government Code, Sec. 68076 that is to be impressed on any writ, summons, or warrant of arrest! You will find that private BAR attorney-controlled "COURTS" are falsely claiming to be state superior courts authorized by the STATE legislature, but they are not. They are in their entirety RICO's based on their own Black Letter Law.

You will not find any lawful court process (writ, warrant, or summons) issued by any COURT in California issued over decades that is in compliance with STATE municipal codes. Meaning all COURT process issued over decades is **simulated legal process designed** to fool the American people into parting with their private Property, including but not limited to, their lives, time, labor, and children, under color of law, color of office, and color of authority, for the benefit of the BAR and the KM-ran FEDERAL RESERVE RICO

The Sheriff, as the highest law enforcement official on the county, is the one that is supposed to be policing all the crimes mentioned herein on the county! The Sheriff's Office is part of a BAR-captured and controlled racketeering enterprise!

No so-called "JUDGE" (i.e., a black-robbed BAR attorney) nor any BAR attorney to our knowledge, have complied with mandated requirements for the constitutional Oath and "**license**" (**not** a BAR Card) per Business and Professions Code (BPC), Sections 6067 and 6068(a).

In order to come to agreement regarding the material Facts presented herein, and concerning this Matter, and to not further waste your time or mine, and to prevent any further confusion, misunderstanding, ultra vires or criminal acts / actions **under color of law**, on your part or by any COUNTY officer, employee or agent [see: Government Code, Sections 811 and 810.6]; and, so that we the people living in Nevada county may be assured we have all the correct information and material Facts necessary for us to determine the lawful authority for Respondents or any COUNTY officer, Sheriff deputy, employee or agent acting for the COUNTY, based on the foregoing, the following questions (with answers) are herein provided by me, in order to be

established as material Facts and to be perfected into the administrative Record by agreement of the Parties.

- Is it true that ignorance of the law <u>does not</u> excuse misconduct in anyone, least of all in a sworn officer of the law? <u>If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer</u>: **Yes** [*In re McCowan*, (1917) 177 C. 93, 170 P. 1100].
- 2) Is it true that <u>all</u> COUNTY OF NEVADA officers, employees and agents, are claiming their authority to act as Government emanates from their strict adherence to operate within the STATE OF CALFORNIA corporate charter styled as a constitution as enacted in 1879? <u>If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: **Yes**.</u>
- 3) Is it true that <u>all</u> COUNTY officers, employees and agents, are <u>required</u> to have taken, subscribed and filed the full Oath mandated by the Constitution of the United States, Article VI, Clause 3; the California Constitution, Article XX, Section 3, which is also required by Government Code, Section 1360? <u>If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: **Yes**.</u>
- 4) Is it true that Gov. Code, Sec. 1367, states "No compensation nor reimbursement for expenses incurred shall be paid to any officer by any public agency unless he has taken and subscribed to the oath or affirmation required by this chapter"? If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: Yes.
- 5) Is it true that Gov. Code 1770(i) states: "An office becomes vacant on the happening of any of the following events before the expiration of the term: (i) His or her refusal or neglect to file his or her required oath or bond within the time prescribed"? If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: Yes.
- 6) It is it true agents of the BAR Association signed The "Bar" Treaty of 1947 which effectively forged the American BAR Association to the respective Pan-American States BAR Associations, and in doing so, further subverted American Law for Rothschild-United Nations International Man-Made TALMUDIC Law, designed by agents of the KM to bring about their One World Order, One World Government, as is self-evident in the following excerpt from the BAR Treaty of 1947: Resolved Further, That the American Bar Association urges that lawyers and other citizens shall do all they can in their home communities to maintain an informed public opinion in favor of working through the [KM Rothschild-controlled] United Nations for accomplishing the great objectives of the Charter and the Statute of the International Court of Justice. If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: Yes. [This was a direct act of sedition and treason by the ABA for which leadership should have been tried and executed accordingly.]
- 7) Is it true that it is a **mandatory requirement** of the **common law of England**³ [see: Civil

³ **Common Law** (lex communis) is taken for the law of this kingdom simply, without any other laws; as it was generally holden before any statute was enacted in parliament to alter the same: and the king's courts of justice are called the Common Law Courts. The Common Law is grounded upon the general customs of the realm; and includes in it the **Law of Nature**, the **Law of God**, and the **Principles and Maxims of the Law**: it is founded upon reason; and is said

Code, Sec. 22.2] that all persons who claim the lawful authority of any office as a public servant (elected or appointed), in the state government of California, its agencies and political or corporate sub-divisions, including the COUNTY, must have a personal Fidelity / Performance / Surety Bond in order to indemnify the American People and all others who are domiciled in the republic state of California from damages caused by ultra vires acts or actions on the part of said public servant? If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: Yes.

- 8) Is it true that no man or woman in this country is so high that he or she is above the Constitution and laws of the United States; that <u>no</u> **officer of the law may set that law at defiance with impunity**; all the officers of the government, from the highest to the lowest, are creatures of the law and are bound to obey it; and every man or woman who, by accepting office, participates in its functions is only the more strongly bound to submit to its supremacy? <u>If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: **Yes** [*United States v. Lee* (1882), 106 U.S. 196 at 220; *Butz v. Economou* (1978), 438 U.S. 478].</u>
- 9) Is it true that that the description of the Office of the County Counsel is given as: "The Office of the County Counsel legal representation and advice to the Board of Supervisors, county departments and other public agencies and special districts, as mandated and authorized by the county charter and state statutes? The office provides comprehensive legal services directed at promoting the public service objectives of the county, while protecting the county from risk and loss?" If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: Yes. [see: https://www.mynevadacounty.com/345/County-Counsel]
- Is it true that all COUNTY officers and employees, by and through NEVADA COUNTY COUNSEL (Attorney) **Katharine Lynn Elliott**, Esq. [SBC #135253], are imputed **ipso jure**⁴, to know the well-settled principle of American criminal jurisprudence, stated in the footnote under **18 U.S.C.A.**, § **2**, which states that: "It removes all doubt that one who puts in motion or assists in the illegal enterprise or causes the commission of an indispensable element of the offense by an innocent agent or instrumentality, is guilty as a principal even though he [or she] intentionally refrained from the direct act constituting the completed offense?" If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: **Yes** [see: Rothenburg v. United States, 245 U.S. 480 (1918); Penal Code, Sec. 31].
- Is it true that every attorney advising, counseling, or acting directly or indirectly in instant private commercial Matter, including but not limited to COUNTY Attorney **Katharine Lynn Elliott**, Esq. [SBC #281933], COUNTY District Attorney, **Jesse Daniel Wilson**, Esq. [SBC #135253], et al., has and will produce a copy (**front** and **back**) their respective "**license**" required by Business and Professions Code, Sec. 6067 into the administrative record? If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: **Yes.**
- 12) Is it true that an "ACTIVE" membership card from the STATE BAR **cannot** be the "license"

to be the perfection of reason, acquired by long study, observation and experience, and refined by learned men in all ages. And it is the common birthright that the subject hath for the safe-guard and defense, not only of his goods, **lands**, **and revenues**; but of his wife and children, body, fame, and life also. Co. Lit. 97, 142. Treatise of Laws, p.2.

⁴ The phrase "**ipso jure**" means by the law itself; by the mere operation of law.

Silence, when there is a moral or legal Duty to respond, constitutes Agreement.

specified in Business and Professions Code, Section 6067, without the "certificate of the oath" "to support the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of California, and faithfully to discharge the duties of any attorney at law to the best of his [or her] knowledge and ability" indorsed thereon? If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: Yes.

- Is it true that Business and Professions Code, Sec. 6068(a) states: "It is the duty of an attorney [admitted to "THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA"] "to support the Constitution and laws of the United States and of this state?" If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: Yes.
- 14) Is it true that the sovereignty of California resides in the people thereof, and, while sovereign powers are delegated to the agencies of government, sovereignty itself remains with the people, by whom and for whom all government exists and acts? If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: Yes [See: Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 U. S. 356 (1886)].
- Is it true that the people of California **have not** yielded **their sovereignty** to the agencies, which serve them [see: e.g., Government Code, Sec. 11120 et seg. and Section 54950 et seq.]? If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: Yes.
- Is it true that **no accrued right**, *i.e.* constitutionally-protected, **vested**⁵, unalienable Right of the people of Nevada county, is or can be affected or abrogated by any of the provisions of either the twenty-nine (29) "CODES" of the "STATE OF CALIFORNIA" or the administrative policies and practices of the COUNTY or any of its departments [see: e.q. Code of Civil Procedure, Sec. 8; Civil Code, Sec. 6; Government Code, Sec. 4; Vehicle Code, Sec. 4; Labor Code, Sec. 4; Health and Safety Code, Sec. 4, et al.]? If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: Yes.
- Is it true that Commercial Code, Sec. 1201(b)(32) states: "Remedy' means any remedial right to which an aggrieved party is entitled with or without resort to a tribunal"? If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: Yes.
- Is it true that the live men and women of Nevada county, joint and several, have a "bundle" of **inherent**, **unalienable Rights**, *i.e.* **vested** Rights [see: Constitution of United States, Ninth Amendment and California Constitution (A. D. 1849), Article I, Section 21; that were pre-existing at the time of the enactment of the twenty-nine (29) "CODES" of the STATE, and the administrative policies and practices of COUNTY Sheriff's Office, which give them the constitutionally-protected unconditional and indefeasible Rights to peacefully associate with each other, to own property, to use, maintain, repair and defend said property against criminal trespass in any lawful manner, including but not limited to the

⁵ Vested means fixed; <u>accrued</u>; settled; <u>absolute</u>; complete. Having the character or given the rights of absolute ownership; not contingent; not subject to be defeated by a condition precedent. Rights are "vested" when right to enjoyment, present or prospective, has become property of some particular person or persons as present interest. See: Favot v. Kingsbury (1929) 98 Cal. App. 284, 276 Pac. 1083; see also: Constitution of the State of California, Annotated, (1946) Complied by Paul Mason, published by direction of the "CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE", printed in California State Printing Office, at page 282, col. 1-2]

Silence, when there is a moral or legal Duty to respond, constitutes Agreement.

remedial (common law) right to require assistance from the chief peace officer of the COUNTY, i.e. the Sheriff, to arrest and/or remove persons who are willfully engaged in **criminal acts** against their constitutionally-protected property Rights? <u>If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: **Yes.**</u>

- 19) Is it true that the "common law at the time state constitution was adopted" includes the whole body of the **common law of England** as it stood at that time, influenced by statute? If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: **Yes** [People v. Richardson, 138 Cal. App. 404, 32 P.2d 433 (1934)].
- Is it true that a common Right is a right, which pertains to the People by the common law, the investiture of which is not to be looked for in any special law whether established by the Constitution or an act of the Legislature? If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: **Yes** [Spring Valley Waterworks v. Schottler, 62 Cal. 69 (1884)].
- Is it true that there are "**inherent**" **common law duties** attached to the Office of a county Sheriff that are antecedent to both the Constitution and laws of the United States, and the Constitution and laws of California, which include the duty to render assistance to the owner (entitled by law) to restitution of real property held by persons who are committing **criminal trespass**? If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: **Yes** [Moore v. Purse Seine Net, 18 Cal.2d 835, 118 P.2d 1 (1941)].
- Is it true that the provisions of the federal <u>and</u> state Constitutions are intended **effectually** <u>and</u> **completely** to protect substantial Rights and <u>cannot</u> be "frittered away" by direct or indirect legislation? <u>If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: **Yes** [*Lux v. Haggin*, 69 Cal. 256, 4 Pac. 919, 10 Pac. 674 (1886)].</u>
- Is it true that the organic 1849 A. D. California Constitution is still in full force and effect in California, and it declares that among the unalienable Rights of each Citizen, is acquiring, possessing and protecting property; and, is one of primary objects of a Republican Form of Government, which is guaranteed by constitution, and **cannot** be impaired by legislation? If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: **Yes** [Billings v. Hall, 7 Cal. 1 (1857)].
- Is it true that the Right of protecting property, declared **unalienable** by the constitution, is not the mere right to protect it by individual force, but right to protect it by the law of the land, and force of body politic? <u>If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: **Yes** [*Billings v. Hall*, 7 Cal. 1 (1857)].</u>
- 25) Is it true **Sheriff Moon**, and all officers, deputies, employees and agents of the COUNTY Sheriff's Office, are charged to know there is <u>no</u> **immunity**, judicial, statutory, contractual or otherwise, for any government officer, employee or agent, who acts in **bad faith**⁶, aids

12 | Page

⁶ **Bad faith** is the opposite of "good faith", generally implying or involving actual or constructive fraud, or a design to mislead or deceive another, or a neglect <u>or</u> **refusal to fulfill some duty** or some contractual obligation, not prompted by an honest mistake as to one's rights or duties, but by some interested or sinister motive. [*State v. Griffin*, 100 S.C.

Silence, when there is a moral or legal Duty to respond, constitutes Agreement.

and abets **fraud**, **criminal trespass** or any other crime under **color of law** <u>or</u> **color of office**? <u>If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: **Yes.**</u>

- Is it true that the COUNTY Sheriff, as well as all other COUNTY officers and employees, by and through COUNTY Counsel, are imputed *ipso jure*, to know that **perversion or obstruction** of justice by any judicial officer, court commissioner or referee, constitutes a "public offense" and is punishable by imprisonment in a county jail for not more than one year? If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: **Yes** [Penal Code, Sec. 96.5)].
- Is it true that the COUNTY Sheriff, as well as all other COUNTY officers and employees, by and through COUNTY Counsel, are imputed *ipso jure*, to know that it is a federal felony if two or more persons **conspire** to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or because of his having so exercised the same? If no answer in rebuttal is provided, under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: **Yes** [18 U.S.C. § 241].
- Is it true that the COUNTY Sheriff, as well as all other COUNTY officers and employees, by and through COUNTY Counsel, are imputed *ipso jure*, to know that 18 U.S.C. § 242 makes it a **federal crime** for **anyone** acting **under color of** any **law**, **statute**, **ordinance**, **regulation**, <u>or</u> **custom** (i.e., policy) to willfully deprive anyone of a right or privilege protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States? <u>If no answer in rebuttal is provided</u>, <u>under penalty of perjury to be true</u>, <u>correct and complete</u>, <u>Parties agree with Answer: **Yes**.</u>
- 29) Is it true that the COUNTY Sheriff, as well as all other COUNTY officers and employees, by and through COUNTY Counsel, are imputed *ipso jure*, to know that it is a federal felony to engage in "**scheme or artifice to defraud**"? If no answer in rebuttal is provided, under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: **Yes** [18 U.S.C. Sec. 1346].
- John Trump), using the militia or the armed forces, or both, <u>or</u> **by any other means**, shall take such measures as he considers necessary to suppress, in a State, any insurrection, domestic violence, unlawful combination, <u>or</u> **conspiracy**, if it so hinders the execution of the laws of that State, and of the United States within the State, that any part or class of its people is **deprived of a right**, **privilege**, **immunity**, <u>or</u> **protection** named in the Constitution and secured by law, and the constituted authorities of that State are unable, fail, <u>or</u> **refuse** to protect that right, privilege, or immunity, or to give that protection? <u>If no answer in rebuttal is provided, under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, <u>Parties agree with Answer</u>: **Yes** [10 U.S.C. §§ 252-253].</u>
- Is it true that the COUNTY Sheriff, as well as all other COUNTY officers and employees, by and through COUNTY Counsel, are imputed ipso jure, to know the definition of **actual fraud**? If no answer in rebuttal is provided, under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and

^{331, 84} S.E. 876; Penn. Mutual L. Ins. Co. v. Mechanics' Savings Bank & Trust Co., C.C.A. Tenn., 73 F. 653, 19 C.C.A. 316, 38 L.R.A. 33, 70; Spiegel v. Beacon Participations, 297 Mass. 398, 8 N.E. 2d 895, 907]

Silence, when there is a moral or legal Duty to respond, constitutes Agreement.

complete, Parties agree with Answer: Yes [Civil Code, Sec. 1572].

- 32) Is it true that the COUNTY Sheriff, as well as all other COUNTY officers and employees, by and through COUNTY Counsel, are imputed ipso jure, to know the definition of **constructive fraud?** If no answer in rebuttal is provided, under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: **Yes** [Civil Code Sec. 1573].
- Is it true that a contract "**implied in law**" is but a **duty imposed by law** and treated as a contract for the purposes of a remedy only? <u>If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: **Yes** [*G. T. Fogle & Co. v. United States*, 135 F.2d 117, 120].</u>
- 34) Is it true that all foreign BAR agents were banned from American government [BAR attorneys are considered Crown Foreign agents] under the organic Thirteenth Article of Amendment (ratified as the de jure Thirteenth Amendment in A. D. 1819), known as the Titles of Nobility Amendment (TONA), which states: "If any citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive, or retain any title of nobility or honor, or shall without the consent of Congress, accept and retain any present, pension, office, or emolument of any kind whatever, from any Emperor, King, Prince, or foreign Power, such person shall cease to be a citizen of the United States, and shall be **incapable** of holding any office of trust or profit under them, or either of them?" If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: Yes.

[see **Titles of Nobility Amendment**⁷, enacted by Congress in 1810, ratified in 1819 https://www.mediafire.com/folder/7pz6omkxnhzdv/1.1 Titles of Nobility Amendment a.k.a. TONA, The Lawfully enacted 13th Amendment that was hidden by those that usurped our nation[CROWN BAR] in the 1860's. https://www.brighteon.com/3fed293e-9a2d-4de6-a1e1-ebd701db791c;

- Is it true that the municipal corporation known as the UNITED STATES® [See Act of 1871], which gave rise to the municipal corporation known as the "STATE OF CALIFORNIA," which gave rise to the COUNTY municipal corporation, and that the UNITED STATES®, created under the Act of 1871 (and now operates as if it were America's original organic Republican Form of Government) was not authorized to operate outside of the district of Columbia under the original "The United States of America" organic constitution? If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: Yes.
- 36) Is it true that Crown BAR attorneys operating in America today are constitutionally-banned foreign agents banned from government pursuant to TONA (See 34)? If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer: **Yes**.
- 37) Is it true that by agreement with the foregoing material Facts, Respondents, individually and as authorized agent(s) for their respective principal(s), unconditionally agree that the non-

⁷ The lawfully enacted Thirteenth Article of Amendment to the original federal Constitution was hidden by a fifth column of foreign BAR agents unlawfully embedded in American Government, who usurped our nation's original, organic laws in the 1860's].

judicial Remedy, *i.e.* **Waiver of Tort**⁸ [Commercial Code Sec. 1201(b)(32)], which the injured Party (and the people of Nevada county) are <u>retroactively</u> entitled, for actual damages and exemplary damages, for the damages caused by the commission and/or omission of acts and/or actions by COUNTY Sheriff, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, C.O.N. Officers, employees, including all deputies or agents acting or claiming to act under said Sheriff's authority, B.O.S. authority, or other Officers and employees authority under **color of law** or **color of office**, which trespassed against, interfered with or obstructed the constitutionally-protected unalienable Rights of the men and women living on Nevada county proper; and, that the people may obtain lawful Remedy through either judicial or non-judicial means [Commercial Code Sec. 1201(b)(32)], at their discretion? <u>If no answer in rebuttal is provided, made under penalty of perjury to be true, correct and complete, Parties agree with Answer:</u> **Yes**.

Respondents, that are claiming to be public officers, joint and several, are required by law to respond to the above point-for-point within ten (10) business days.

To summarize the foregoing, pursuant to the California Constitution, Article XX, Section 3 and relevant sections of the Government Code provided above (as well as the COUNTY municipal corporate charter) all COUNTY offices are vacant; and no individual claiming lawful authority to occupy their office is entitled to any compensation, i.e., collect a pay check, which further evidences the people of the county are being extorted by COUNTY OF NEVADA, under color of law (Racketeering, extortion⁹).

Further, <u>all</u> the people living in the county who have voluntarily been paying the COUNTY for taxes, permits, licenses, etc., by operation of law have <u>no</u> **duty** or **obligation** to continue to do so, since the COUNTY is an ongoing captured, **foreign-controlled (BAR, CROWN)**, **racketeering criminal enterprise being operated by constitutionally-banned foreign Crown BAR agents**, i.e., attorneys, operating **under color of law**; and, no one can be forced to knowingly fund a criminal enterprise.

Crown BAR attorneys (constitutionally-banned foreign agents) are intentionally running America into the ground in obedience to their Rothschild crime cartel-CROWN-VATICAN masters.

It should now be apparent to all why the so-called "NEVADA COUNTY GRAND JURY" has accomplished little to nothing over the years, and why constitutionally-banned foreign BAR agents of the foreign COUNTY OF NEVADA municipal government services Corporation are aiding and abetting **International War Crimes**, including **genocide**, while masquerading as if they were de jure public officers and employees of the original, organic county government.

Based on the foregoing, we anticipate that the racketeering enterprises exposed herein is ongoing in all 3,143 counties of these united states of America and the 58 counties of California, which have been unlawfully captured under the CROWN BAR's unconstitutional 'so called legal

15 | Page

_

⁸ **Waiver of Tort** is the election, by an injured party, for purposes of redress, to treat the Facts as establishing an implied Contract, which the injured party may enforce, instead of an injury by fraud or wrong, for the committing of which one may demand, compensatory and exemplary damages.

⁹ **Extortion** is any oppression by color or pretense of right, and particularly the exaction by an officer of money, by color of his office, either when none at all is due, or not so much is due. or when it Is not yet due. Preston v. Bacon, 4 Conn. 4So.Extortion consists in any public officer unlawfully taking, by color of his office, from any person any money or thing of value that is not due to him or more than his due. Code Ga. 1882,

monopoly', unlawfully foisted over America by the KM International Bankers that began in the 1860's memorialized with the infamous Act of 1871.

Sincerely,

Shanan [Bryars], sua potestas esse¹⁰

(Not an Accommodation Party)
All Rights reserved, without prejudice, without recourse



Nevada county assembly, Committee of Safety

We the People place our autograph and seal in affirmation that the information contained herein is true and correct to the best of our knowledge at the time it was compiled and written. All Rights reserved.

By: /m-/mas:

By.

Susan Joanne

By:

c.c.

The people of Nevada county, via the Nevada county assembly. c/o 2036 Nevada City Highway, #619 [near: 95945] Grass Valley, California, u. S. of A.

Attention: Kathleen Williams,

c /o: NEVADA COUNTY GRAND JURY 950 Maidu Avenue [near: CA 95959]

¹⁰ Sua potestas esse means having full Power and Authority over one's own dominions.

Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent, Notice to Agent is Notice to Principal;

Applicable to all Successors, Assigns, and Agents:

Silence, when there is a moral or legal Duty to respond, constitutes Agreement.

Nevada City (59), California, u. S. of A.

All Grand Jury Members, c /o: NEVADA COUNTY GRAND JURY 950 Maidu Avenue [near: CA 95959] Nevada City (59), California, u. S. of A.

Honorable **Donald John Trump**,

In capacity as Commander-in-Chief

c/o "THE PENTAGON"

Attn.: General **David H. Berger** (U.S.M.C.)

7400 Pentagon [near: DC 20301-7400]

Washington city (01), District of Columbia

Honorable **Donald John Trump**,

In capacity as Commander-in-Chief

c/o Mar-A-Lago (i.e., "Winter White House")

1100 South Ocean Boulevard [near: FL 33480]

Palm Beach (80), Florida, u. S. of A.

United States Navy, Judge Advocate General's Office

Attn.: Vice Admiral Darse E. Crandall Jr., or successor

c/o 1322 Patterson Avenue, Suite 3000

Washington Navy Yard, D.C. 20374-5066

Navy CIS <ncistipline@ncis.navy.mil.>

US Army Provost Marshal, Criminal Investigation Division

Attn: M.G. Duane R. Miller, or successor

Russel Knox Building

27130 Telegraph Road [near 22134-2253]

Quantico, Virginia, u. S. of A.

Documents Annexed hereto:

- (1) The Oath mandated by Article XX, Section 3, of 1879 "STATE OF CALIFORNIA" constitution (i.e., corporate charter)
- (2) February 28, A. D. 2022, letter from Kathleen Williams, Foreperson, Nevada County Grand Jury
- (3) March 2, A.D. 2022, letter from Thomas Anderson (2 pages)
- (4) Form 700 Correspondence, dated Friday, March 11th, 2022 at 3:58 PM, from <xxxxx@co.nevada.ca.us>
- (5) Form provided for execution on joining Grand Jury, NCSPFRM-102 External User Security Affidavit & Account Configuration, See page
- (6) Biden Crime Family
- (7) Michael Moore makes a stop in Grass valley, 900 cases reviewed, nearly all pleaded, 1-2 trials!

(1) Officers of the STATE OF CALIFORNIA (SOC) and the 58 COUNTY SOC sub corporations claim their authority to act as government emanates from the STATE OF CALOIFORNIA 1879 Constitution (a defunct corporate charter) which requires every Officer, agent and employee subscribes to The Oath mandated by Article XX, Section 3, of 1879 "STATE OF CALIFORNIA" constitution (i.e., corporate charter)

The Oath mandated by Article XX, Section 3, of 1879 "STATE OF CALIFORNIA" constitution (i.e., corporate charter), and is as follows:

https://codes.findlaw.com/ca/constitution-of-the-state-of-california-1879/

• Article XX. Miscellaneous Subjects

<u>Sec. 3</u>. Members of the Legislature, and all public officers and employees, executive, legislative, and judicial, except such inferior officers and employees as may be by law exempted, shall, before they enter upon the duties of their respective offices, take and subscribe the following oath or affirmation:

"I,, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of California against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of California; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties upon which I am about to enter.

"And I do further swear (or affirm) that I do not advocate, nor am I a member of any party or organization, political or otherwise, that now advocates the overthrow of the Government of the United States or of the State of California by force or violence or other unlawful means; that within the five years immediately preceding the taking of this oath (or affirmation) I have not been a member of any party or organization, political or otherwise, that advocated the overthrow of the Government of the United States or of the State of California by force or violence or other unlawful means except as follows:

(If no affiliations, write in the words "No Exceptions") and that during such time as I hold the office of (name of office)

I will not advocate nor become a member of any party or organization, political or otherwise, that advocates the overthrow of the Government of the United States or of the State of California by force or violence or other unlawful means."

And no other oath, declaration, or test, shall be required as a qualification for any public office or employment.

"Public officer and employee" includes every officer and employee of the State, including the University of California, every county, city, city and county, district, and authority, including any department, division, bureau, board, commission, agency, or instrumentality of any of the foregoing.

(2) February 28, A. D. 2022, letter from Kathleen Williams, Foreperson, Nevada County Grand Jury



NEVADA. COUNTY GRAND JURY

Eric Rood Administration Center 950 Maidu Avenue Nevada City, California 95959 Telephone: 530-265-1730 Email: xxxx@nccourt.net

28 February 2022

Ms. S. Bryars 1776 Barney Rubble Way Nevada City, XX XXXXX

Re: Your Qualifications as a Civil Grand Juror Dear Ms. Bryars,

In light of the conversation I had with you on February 25, and the application to the Grand Jury I received, had it been known to myself and the Court prior to swearing you in as a juror, you would not currently qualify as fair and impartial member. Please he advised that based on good cause, you may be removed from the Grand Jury by the Superior Court which is currently reviewing the facts.

This decision is based on information that you failed to provide on your application and information which you yourself brought to my attention on February 25, where you told me over the phone that you were a participant in disruptive activities at the Elections Department.

Members of the Grand Jury are required to he [sic] fair, impartial, and fact-driven. The Jury must work with and gather factual information from County officials, and it is believed that your presence would be detrimental to the Jury's reputation and its ability to conduct its business.

After you were sworn in as a member of the Grand Jury, you were advised during the Grand Jury orientation (as well as the initial interview itself) that members were not to represent themselves as a Grand Jury member or take any 'public action' unless accompanied by another Grand Juror. It came to my attention that you appeared on your own at a Board of Supervisors meeting on

February 22, 2022 while wearing a badge identifying you as a Grand Jury member.

Please **do not** appear to any Grand Jury Full Panel or Committee meetings as this matter is awaiting a decision by the Grand Jury's Presiding Judge of the Superior Court.

Yours,

Kathleen Williams Foreperson, Nevada County Grand Jury

(3) March 2, A.D. 2022, letter from Thomas Anderson (2 pages)

S. ROBERT TICE-RASKIN, Presiding Judge

B. SCOTT THOMSEN, Judge THOMAS M. ANDERSON, Judge

> JASON LACHANCE, Commissioner

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA County of Nevada



(530) 362-4309

LINDA J. SLOVEN,
Assistant Presiding Judge

CANDACE S. HEIDELBERGER,

Judae

YVETTE DURANT, Judge
JASON B. GALKIN,
C:)urt Executive Officer

March 2, 2022

Ms. S. Bryars 1776 Barney Rubble Way Nevada City, XX XXXXX

Re: Discharge of Civil Grand Juror

Dear Ms. Bryars,

I have received information from the Grand Jury that had it been known to them and the Court prior to swearing you in as a member, you would not currently qualify as fair and impartial member. Therefore, I find, based on good cause, that you are to be removed from the Grand Jury.

This decision is based on information that you failed to provide on. your application and which you brought to the attention of the Foremen on February 25, where you were a participant in disruptive activities at various County offices, including the Elections Department. R was also learned that you behaved towards law enforcement in a way that would indicate that you are not qualified to serve as a Grand Juror at this time.

Members of the Grand Jury are required to be. fair, impartial, and fact-driven. Due to your recent interactions with County officials that the Jury must work with and gather factual information from, your presence would be detrimental to the iury's reputation anti its ability to conduct its business.

It has also been reported that after you were. sworn in as a member of the Grand Jury and were advised by the Grand Jury that members were not to hold themselves out as a Grand Jury member unless agreed to by their committee and only if accompanied by another Grand juror, that you appeared at a Board of Supervisors meeting on February 22, 2022 while wearing a badge identifying you as a Grand jury member.

This Court has the authority and the duty to discharge a sworn juror under some circumstances. Penal Code section 1089 states, in relevant part:

If at any time, whether before or after the final submission of the ease to the jury, a juror dies or becomes ill, or upon other good cause shown to the court is found to be unable to perform his or her duty, . the court may order the juror to be discharged.

You have now been provided written notice of my decision. if you wish an opportunity to be heard on this matter, you may contact the Court no later than March 25, 2022.

Respectfully,
Momas androg

Thomas Anderson

Judge of the Superior Court

(4) Form 700 Correspondence, dated Friday, March 11th, 2022 at 3:58 PM, from xxxxxx@co.nevada.ca.us

| Original Message | |
|--|-----------|
| On Friday, March 11th, 2022 at 3:58 PM, <xxxxxxx@co.nevada.ca.us< td=""><td>s> wrote:</td></xxxxxxx@co.nevada.ca.us<> | s> wrote: |

Dear Shanan.

Our records indicate that you are leaving your position with Grand Jury on February 28, 2022.

As an official or employee whose position is designated in the agency's Conflict of Interest Code, you are required to file a leaving office Statement of Economic Interests, Form 700 no later than 30 days after the date you left office. Therefore, your Form 700 is due by March 30, 2022.

You are now eligible to prepare your Form 700 electronically in the privacy of your own personal secured filing area. It is our vision that eDisclosure system will make it easier for you to comply with reporting requirements. This program is secure and password-protected; and it assists you with filling out the form accurately and completely, performing an error-check prior to finalization. If you have used eDisclosure in the past you can copy the information from a prior year's filing. You will have access to eDisclosure 24/7 and online help is available in a form of video tutorials and user guides under Help menu.

Upon login you will see a list of positions that you are required to file Form 700 for. Once you have completed your form, the system will prompt you to print, sign and mail your completed Form 700 to our office. Once we receive and stamp your form it will be saved in your online e-filing cabinet under "Previous Filings" menu.

To commence using eDisclosure for e-Filing of your Form 700, please take the following steps.

- 1. Watch a short "how to" video at this link: http://www.southtech-tutorials.com/eDisclosure/FilerDemo/tutorial.html
- 2. Access eDisclosure system and complete your form 700 at this link: https://www.southtechhosting.com/NevadaCounty/eDisclosure.
- 3. If you would prefer to file by paper, return your Form 700 to our office by 3/30/2022 12:00:00 AM.

Nevada County Clerk of the Board 950 Maidu Avenue Suite 200

(5) Form provided for execution on joining Grand Jury

NCSPFRM-102 External User Security Affidavit & Account Configurat

Excerpt from page 3 of 8

Furthermore, I understand and acknowledge that the County of Nevada ("County") provides me with access to Electronic Communications Resources to facilitate the performance of County work. I may use these resources for incidental personal purposes provided that such use does not burden the County with incremental costs or interferes with the County's operations and my employment or other obligations to the County. However, the County's Electronic Communications Resources are the property of the County and are not confidential. I have no expectation of privacy when using the County's Electronic Communications Resources and acknowledge that the County has the right to retrieve and make proper and lawful use of all electronic communications and data contained in and transmitted through the County's network and through outside providers of wireless or electronic communications services.

Accordingly, I hereby authorize any Electronic Communications Service to release to the County any information the County may request relating to electronic communications and/or any other form of instant or delayed messaging sent and/or received by me on any Electronic Communications Resource maintained by the County that delivers or receives electronic communications including, but not limited to, cellular phones, computers/laptops, telecommunications devices, video and audio equipment, voicemail, wireless networks and data systems. "Electronic Communication Service" means any service which provides to users thereof the ability to send or receive wire or electronic communications.

I hereby release, discharge and hold harmless the County and the person, firm, company, corporation or other third party to whom this Authorization is directed, including their agents, representatives and employees, from any and all liability of every nature and kind arising out of their providing the information, records and other matters authorized above pursuant to this Authorization.

(6) The Biden Crime Syndicate and undeniable theft of the 2021 election:

In 1928, Justice Brandeis said:

"Decency, security, and liberty alike demand that government officials shall be subjected to the same rules of conduct that are commands to the citizen. In a government of laws, existence of the government will be imperiled if it fails to observe the law scrupulously. Our government is the potent, the omnipresent teacher. For good or for ill, it teaches the whole people by its example."

- Olmstead v. United States, --- U.S. 438 (1928) (Brandeis, J., dissenting).

Who is teaching those in so-called Government how to be criminals:

BURISMA HOLDINGS LIMITED (the Company) Archiepiskopou Makariou III, 155, PROTEAS HOUSE, 5th floor, 3026 Limassol, Cyprus Reg. No. 186236 WRITTEN RESOLUTION OF THE DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY PASSED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION OF THE COMPANY WHEREAS the Company is interested in the development of China-Ukrainian relationships and considers possible cooperation with Chinese companies on the project "Sino Ukraine Silk Road Grain Port" IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS: I. THAT the Company shall authorize Mr. Vadym Pozharskyi, Citizen of Ukraine, holder of Ukrainian passport FE 391491, issued on 18/04/2016 to represent with all Chinese Potential Shareholders for development, negotiation and contracting issues as well as operation management of the project "Sino Ukraine Silk Road Grain Port". THAT the Company shall issue Special Power of Attorney of the Company to Mr. Vadym Pozharskyi, Citizen of Ukraine, holder of Ukrainian passport FE 391491, issued on 18/04/2016 to act for representation the interests of the Company and implementation the resolutions of the Company. 3. THAT Ms. CHRISTINA SOFOCLEOUS, Mr. ANDREAS SOFOCLEOUS and Mr. RIGINOS CHARALAMPOUS as Directors of the Company are authorized to sign the Special Power of Attorney. Dated: 27/11/2018 CHRISTINA SOFOCLEOUS RÍGINOS CHARALAMPOUS Director Director ALEKSANDER KWASNIEWSKI ALAN BRET APTER Director Director JOSEBA COFER BLACK HUNTER BIDEN Director Director

https://newsrescue.com/time-admits-2020-us-election-was-rigged-by-cabal-biden-kamala-victory/

Joe Biden Brags of Building the Most Extensive VOTER FRAUD Scheme in History: https://www.brighteon.com/af5c9604-2fc9-4206-81ea-12ce42e0f67d

If the supposed - highest offices of the nations are blatantly, totally corrupt, is it any wonder why every Office under the Criminal in chief are nothing but RICO's, and are totally corrupted to the core at every level?

The Biden Crime family, THE BIDEN TIME LINE AND THE PLOT TO REMOVE DONALD TRUMP: https://www.mediafire.com/file/k40w6kkggjohnzu/BIDENV2.pdf/file

Hunter, Burisma, Kolomoisky, Zelensky, and PrivatBank:

Since 2014, four journalists have uncovered links between the myriad offshore companies that are part of Burisma Holdings and Ukraine's most powerful, ruthless oligarch, Igor Kolomoisky.

>https://nypost.com/2020/10/31/hunter-bidens-ukraine-contact-allegedly-fixer-for-rulers/

President Volodymyr Zelensky and his close associates may have been involved in the transfer of \$40 million from structures linked to oligarch Igor Kolomoisky. Pandora Papers, a new source of 14 companies that registered and serviced offshore companies, partially confirmed the scheme of transferring funds from the structures of oligarch Igor Kolomoisky to offshore companies of Vladimir Zelensky and his associates. In particular, journalists were able to identify the ultimate offshore owners who could receive the money. These funds could be part of the story of withdrawal and money laundering of PrivatBank.

https://www.kyivpost.com/business/slidstvo-info-journalists-confirm-zelenskys-40-million-tie-with-kolomoisky-video.html

https://www.breitbart.com/politics/2019/09/26/former-mitt-romney-adviser-sits-on-burisma-board-of-directors/

Skull & Bones "Bonesmen": Former Presidents: William Howard Taft, George HW Bush, and George W. Bush Several on the Council of Foreign Relations

Former Economic adviser for President Obama, Austin Goolsbee

Many CIA & some FBI operatives are from the Skull & Bones

John Kerry - Was asked publicly about it

https://youtu.be/FJXzohdF-MA

Kerry married into the Heinz family

Chris Heinz (Kerry's stepson) joined Hunter Biden and Devon Archer in Rosemont Seneca Capital, whom established a partnership with the Chinese government's Bank of China.

Joe Biden (then VP) and John Kerry (Chairman on Committee of Foreign relations and thn Sec of State) worked together overseas on issues like Climate Change

https://bit.ly/3EvXoBk

REMINDER: Joe Biden, John Kerry, Mitt Romney, The Clintons, Nancy Pelosi and George Soros All Have Connections to the Ukraine: https://bit.ly/33Z5Nka

In summary – In early 2014 John Kerry visited the Ukraine and offered \$1 billion in 'aid' shortly after protesters took over the government causing the President to flee to Russia and shortly after Russia invaded the Ukraine. Also, in early 2014 Kerry's top campaign fundraiser Devon Archer visited Biden in the White House. Then Biden visited the campaign and Archer and Biden's son Hunter are named to the Board of Burisma Holdings, the Ukraine's largest gas producer.

Next the Russian advance into the Ukraine was suddenly stopped by a mercenary army put together by billionaire banker Igor Kolomoisky.

The Burisma hired John Kerry's former chief of staff, David Leiter as a lobbyist. Britain had frozen \$23 million of Burisma founder's money but later dropped these charges.

Some suggest that Burisma is actually owned by banker Kolomoisky who blew through \$1.8 billion in 9 months in aid in a maze of offshore accounts. Kolomoisky was placed on the US's visa ban list but then was soon lifted. The month before Biden's last trip to the Ukraine, all investigations of Burisma were closed. On Biden's last day in office, Burisma and the Democrat connected Atlantic Council signed cooperation agreements.

Nancy Pelosi's son Paul was also on the board of an energy company and he traveled to Ukraine for his work. The Clintons received millions from Ukrainians over the years in donations to their 'Foundation':

From 2009 up to 2013, the year the Ukrainian crisis erupted, the Clinton Foundation received at least \$8.6 million from the Victor Pinchuk Foundation, which is headquartered in the Ukrainian capital of Kiev, a new report claims.

https://bit.ly/3eqr2xd

(7) Michael Moore makes stop in Grass Valley – Video and article from the Union

Michael Moore makes stop in Grass Valley

Video: The awful Truth about Nevada City:

https://www.brighteon.com/d3b15f52-a39f-4023-a308-a01627e85534

News NEWS | October 30, 2011

Matthew Renda Staff Writer

Michael Moore is giddy.

The famous (or infamous, depending on one's position on the political spectrum) documentary filmmaker, political activist, social commentator and author told a packed house at the Nevada Union High School auditorium that the Occupy movements cropping up around the country are deeply satisfying.

Moore said the majority of Americans support the movement's central position of corporate greed and money having undue influence on national politics.

"This movement is six weeks old and it's already getting 59 percent support in this country (according to a poll)," he said to applause. "Think about that. In the first six weeks of the feminist movement, did it have 59 percent of America's support? No. This is incredible."

Moore poked fun at the national media for being confused about the movement and needlessly attempting to identify a leader of the movement.

"The movement only works if everybody tries to lead," he said. "There are no dues-paying members, no monthly meetings in the basement of the Unitarian Church."

Moore said the true organizers of the movement are the leaders of the financial institutions like Goldman Sachs, Citibank and Bank of America.

"They put their boots on the necks of the American people for just a couple minutes too long," he said to raucous applause. "It wasn't enough for them to be normally greedy, they had to have more."

Moore said the leaders of such institutions are "the true terrorists of our lifetime, as they have ruined lives and killed many Americans."

He called the United States government the servant of Wall Street and said that is why protests have focused on New York City's financial sector, as opposed to Washington, D.C.

"What can the servant do for you?" he asked rhetorically.

Moore, who typically reserves his most scathing satirical punches for the Republican Party, did not go lightly on Democrats in his speech that lasted nearly two hours.

He said politicians from both sides of the aisle have accepted enormous contributions from corporate lobbyists and the entire political system was broken, irrespective of party affiliation.

He criticized President Barack Obama for not having the "courage of your convictions to stand up and fight," saying he extended too many olive branches to Republicans in Congress during his first term.

He said historians will look back upon this era of American history and wonder why citizens thought they were living in a democracy. He used the familiar statistical breakdown of 1 percent versus 99 percent, saying the richest 400 Americans have more combined wealth than 150 million of the poorest Americans.

"The top 1 percent deserve exactly 1 percent of the say in how the country is run," he said. "That's how democracy should work."

The crowd was largely receptive to Moore's speech, although a handful of hecklers appeared, with one audience member asking Moore how it felt to be in the one percent.

Moore, who was in town promoting his book "Here Comes Trouble," said it felt good to be back in Nevada County, where he has a history of involvement in local issues.

His sister, Anne Moore, is a Nevada County resident and was involved in a controversial battle with the Nevada County Public Defender's Office in 2001, where she was employed at the time.

She resigned her office and went public with allegations of a hostile work environment, inadequate legal representation for the poor and overall mismanagement that led to her boss's firing and an overhaul of the entire agency, according to previously published reports.

Anne Moore was awarded \$231,000 in damages by a California Superior Court, which ruled the county failed to protect her from retaliation after she exposed widespread misconduct.

Michael Moore, who had a cable television show called "The Awful Truth" at the time, once featured the turmoil involving Nevada County and his sister on the program.

Moore said he has a "few good ideas" for a new documentary film but would not reveal subject material or a timeline.

To contact Staff Writer Matthew Renda, e-mail <u>mrenda@theunion.com</u> or call (530) 477-4239.

If you have any questions please contact Clerk of the Board at (530)265-1480 or via email at xxxx@co.nevada.ca.us.

~~~~

Why would actors at the local kangaroo court be so keen to gain 900 convictions by forcing contracts on those alleged to have broken the law? It could not be because the court is making money by gaining FALSE convictions is it? If this is the case is it really a court, or is it working for the bank (FED)? Are those masquerading as DA's. Judges and Lawyers lying by Omission about what is really ugoing on at the COURT? What are the actors not telling the America people about the so called COURT system operating across America?

https://itnjcommittee.org/why-the-itnj/cris-court-registry-investment-system/

CRIS: <a href="https://www.brighteon.com/db4926ea-c48c-4c88-8caf-21ba1482c820">https://www.brighteon.com/db4926ea-c48c-4c88-8caf-21ba1482c820</a>

Canon Law expert Frank O'Collins explains our money 'system' and how it is intertwined with our legal system. The Sabbatean bankers who run the BIS, IMF and Federal Reserve maintain their control over the planet via their control of money/credit. This is the primary source of evil on planet Earth. As long as they can keep nations and peoples in debt to them . . . they seem to be able to "run the show".

<u>Intro to Canon Law, Money, and the Courts</u> (2010, part 1 of 2) ~ Frank O'Collins

Canon Law, Money, and the Courts (2010, part 2 of 2) ~ Frank Collins